1 2	THE URBAN LAW FIRM MICHAEL A. URBAN, Nevada State Bar No. 3875 NATHAN R. RING, Nevada State Bar No. 12078 4270 S. Decatur Blvd., Suite A-9				
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 Telephone: (702) 968-8087				
4	Facsimile: (702) 968-8088 Electronic Mail: murban@theurbanlawfirm.com				
5	nring@theurbanlawfirm.com Counsel for Plaintiffs Laborers Joint Trust Funds				
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
8	THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE				
9	CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS HEALTH AND WELFARE	CASE NO:			
10	TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND				
11	LABORERS JOINT PENSION TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE	COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF			
12	CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND	CONTRACT AND BREACH OF ERISA			
	LABORERS VACATION TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF SOUTHERN				
13	NEVADA LABORERS LOCAL 872 TRAINING TRUST,	[29 U.S.C. § 185(a) and § 1132(e)]			
14	Plaintiffs,				
15	VS.				
16	ARENA PARKS STADIUM				
17	SOLUTIONS, INC., a Foreign corporation,				
18	Defendant.				
19					
20	Plaintiffs, jointly and severally, complain and allege as follows:				
21	JURISDICTION AND VENUE				
22	1. This Court has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to Section 502(e)(1) of the				
23	Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. §				
24	1132(e)(1), which grants the United States District Courts exclusive jurisdiction over civil actions				
25	brought by a fiduciary pursuant to Section 502(a)(3) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), to redress				
	violations or enforce the terms of ERISA or an employee benefit plan governed by ERISA. Such				
26	jurisdiction exists without respect to the amount in controversy or the citizenship of the parties, as				
27	provided in Section 502(f) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1132(f).				

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of this case pursuant to Section 301(a) of the Labor Management Relations Act of 1947, as amended ("LMRA"), 29 U.S.C. §185(a), which grants the United States District Courts original jurisdiction over suits for violation of contracts between an employer and a labor organization in an industry affecting commerce, without respect to the amount in controversy and the citizenship of the parties.
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Section 502(e)(2) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2), and Section 301(a) of the LMRA, 29 U.S.C. §185(a), in that this is the district in which the Trusts (defined below) are administered, the signatory union maintains its offices, and where the relevant acts took place.
- 4. To the extent this Complaint sets forth any claims based upon state law, this Court holds supplemental jurisdiction over those claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(a).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiffs are THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS JOINT PENSION TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY AND LABORERS VACATION TRUST; THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF SOUTHERN NEVADA LABORERS LOCAL 872 TRAINING TRUST (collectively hereinafter "Trusts" or "Plaintiffs").
- 6. Plaintiffs as trustees of the Trusts are "fiduciar[ies]" with respect to the Trusts as defined in § 3(21)(A) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1002(21)(A).
- 7. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendant ARENA PARKS STADIUM SOLUTIONS, INC., (hereinafter "APSS"), has been a corporation organized and existing by virtue of the laws of the State of Connecticut and authorized to conduct business in the State of Nevada.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

8. At all times material to this Complaint, there was in effect a collective bargaining agreement ("CBA") between the Laborers International Union of North America, Local 872 ("Local 872") and APSS. The CBA incorporates by reference the Master Labor Agreement between Local

872 and Contractors Associations and the Trust Agreements establishing the Plaintiff Trust Funds. The CBA names the Trust Funds as third-party beneficiaries of that agreement.

- 9. APSS is an employer whose employees perform work covered under the CBA. Pursuant to the CBA, APSS agreed to abide by the Trust Agreements, which establish and govern the operation of the Plaintiff Trust Funds.
- 10. APSS is an "employer," as that term is defined in the CBA and related Trust Agreements.
- APSS is an "employer" as defined and used in Section 3(5) of ERISA, as codified at 29 U.S.C. § 1002(5), and therefore, APSS is "obligated to make contributions to a multi-employer plan" within the meaning of Section 515 of ERISA, as codified at 29 U.S.C. § 1145. Plaintiffs allege that APSS is an "employer" engaged in "commerce" in an "industry affecting commerce," as those terms are defined and used in Section 501(1) and Section 501(3) of the LMRA, as codified at 29 U.S.C. § 142(1) and § 142(3), and within the meaning and use of Section 301(a) of the LMRA, 29 U.S.C. § 185(a).

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Breach of Written Collective Bargaining Agreement and Related Trust Agreements – APSS

- 12. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege the allegations contained in the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 11, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 13. At all times material to this Complaint, there was in effect a CBA between Local 872 and APSS. The CBA incorporates by reference the Trust Agreements establishing the Trusts.
- 14. APSS is an employer whose employees performed work covered under the CBA between APSS and Local 872. Pursuant to the CBA, APSS agreed to abide by the Trust Agreements, which establish and govern the operation of the Trusts.
- 15. By the terms and provisions of the CBA and related Trust Agreements and at all times material herein, Defendant APSS was obligated to the following:

15.1 Defendant APSS was obligated to prepare and submit true, complete and

accurate written monthly contribution reports to the Trusts on a timely basis showing i) the identities of employees performing work covered by the CBA, ii) the number of hours worked by or paid to these employees, iii) the rates of pay, iv) character of hours worked (e.g., straight time, over-time, etc.), and v) based upon the hours worked or amounts paid to employees, the proper calculation of the fringe benefit contributions, benefits and/or withholdings attributable to its employees. These monthly contribution reports are due on the 10th day of each successive month;

- 15.2 Defendant APSS was required to maintain adequate records of work performed by and amounts paid to its employees. APSS was obligated to permit the Trusts and their agents to conduct audits of APSS's payroll and related records in order to determine whether or not fringe benefit contributions were properly paid pursuant to the CBA and Trust Agreements;
- 15.3 Defendant APSS was obligated to properly pay fringe benefit contributions to the Trusts. Benefits and/or other withholdings were to be made on a monthly basis, and at specified rates for each hour worked by or paid to applicable employees; these amounts are due and payable at the Trusts' administrative offices.
- 16. On or about November 20, 2018, Plaintiffs, through their counsel, demanded APSS provide payroll and related records of APSS for the time period of November 2017. Some records were provided but some are still outstanding.
- 17. On or about February 12, 2019, Plaintiffs, through their counsel, demanded APSS provide payroll and related records of APSS through the date of APSS' completion of work on the "MGM Park Project". Plaintiffs also again requested APSS provide the remaining payroll and related records for the time period of November 2017.
- 18. Despite a phone call assuring documents would be provided, APSS has not provided all of the documents necessary for the audit.
 - 19. Due to APSS's refusal to permit an audit, the exact amount of contributions and/or

contract damages due and owing have not been ascertained at this time. These amounts, if any exist,						
will be established by proof at trial herein or through dispositive motion following completion of an						
audit. APSS's refusal to produce all requested documents is a breach of the CBA and Trust						
Agreements. It is also a breach of ERISA.						

- 20. It is known that APSS owes a shortage of \$120.46 for its employees' contributions for the month of November 2018. The shortage also entitles the Trusts to collect a \$250.00 administrative fee and a \$250.00 attorney referral fee under the Trust Agreements. APSS is delinquent under the Trust Agreements.
- 21. Pursuant to the terms of the CBA and Trust Agreements, APSS also promised that, in the event of any delinquency, it would pay any legal and auditing costs in connection with such delinquency, whether incurred before or after litigation commenced.
- 22. It has been necessary for the Trusts to engage The Urban Law Firm for the purpose of obtaining the payroll and related records of APSS or its subcontractors to complete the audit, collect any and all amounts due, and to otherwise enforce the terms of the CBA and Trust Agreements.
- 23. Pursuant to the CBA, Trust Agreements, and 29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(2), the Trusts are entitled to an award of their reasonable attorney's fees incurred to enforce the same, even if no delinquency is discovered by the audit.
- 24. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(2), APSS owes to the Trusts interest, at the contractual rate, on any and all unpaid contributions and liquidated damages from the dates the sums were originally due to the Trusts through the date of judgment.

WHEREFORE, the Trusts pray for judgment against APSS, as follows:

- 1. For an order compelling APSS to submit to and cooperate with the audit of their books, documents, and other things by the Trusts duly appointed representative;
 - 2. For unpaid fringe benefit contributions if any, in amounts as proven;
 - 3. For damages for breach of contract in amounts if any, as proven;
 - 4. For liquidated damages in amounts if any, as proven;
 - 5. For audit expenses if any, in amounts as proven;

1		6.	For interest at the agreed up	oon contractual rate on all fringe benefit contributions	
2	and/or	damag	ges if any, until paid in full;		
3		7.	For the Trusts' reasonable att	orney's fees;	
4		8.	For the Trusts' costs of suit in	ncurred herein; and	
5		9.	For such additional relief as t	this Court deems just and proper.	
6					
7	Dated:	Marcl	h 29, 2019	THE URBAN LAW FIRM	
8				/s/ Nathan R. Ring	
9				MICHAEL A. URBAN, Nevada Bar No. 3875 NATHAN R. RING, Nevada State Bar No. 12078 4270 S. Decatur Blvd., Suite A-9	
10				Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 Telephone: (702) 968-8087	
11				Facsimile: (702) 968-8088 Electronic Mail: murban@theurbanlawfirm.com	
12				nring@theurbanlawfirm.com Counsel for Plaintiffs Laborers Joint Trust Fund	
13				Counsel for I miniggs Laborers John Trust I min	
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27 28					
۷0	•				